

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
0/980,006	05/09/2002	Jan Hall	21547/0283	9722
. 759	0 11/30/2006		EXAM	INER
Burton A Amernick			COMSTOCK, DAVID C	
PO Box 19088			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
	30/980,006 759 Burton A Amer Connolly Bove L O Box 19088	0/980,006 05/09/2002 7590 11/30/2006 Burton A Amernick Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz O Box 19088	9/980,006 05/09/2002 Jan Hall 7590 11/30/2006 Burton A Amernick Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz	7/980,006 05/09/2002 Jan Hall 21547/0283 7590 11/30/2006 EXAM Burton A Amernick COMSTOCK Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz O Box 19088 ART UNIT .

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{M}'
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/980,006	HALL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Comstock	3733
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Sec 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final. nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9-19 and 21 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-18 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9-15,19 and 21 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2005 is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ object □ accepted or b)☐ object □ accepted in abeya □ accepted if the drawing □ accepted if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in a ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 09/980,006

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-15, 19, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hahn (U.S. Pat. no. 3,605,123).

Hahn discloses and implant a layer (13) that constitutes a barrier with a substantial thickness. The layer has a channel network with porosity (figure 1-4, col 2:43-45) and mouths which are adapted to face a bone structure (see figure 2 and 3) and as disclosed, the mouth cross section diameter are less than the respective extents of the channel. Hahn discloses that the layer can be established on undulating or uneven surfaces (for example the threads of a screw (col 1:32-37)). The screw could be used for dental applications (col 5:46-50). Also, it is noted that the surface shown in figure 2 is undulating and uneven. Hahn also discloses the layer with a channel network having channel branches through the layer extending in all directions. The layer has a thickness up to 0.1 inch (col 3:49-50), which is in the range of 0.5-20 micrometer. Hahn discloses the claimed invention except for having a porosity of 1x10E7-1x10E10 pores/cm3 and with a mouth diameter in the range of 0.1-10 micrometers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the implant of Hahn with a layer having a porosity of 1x10E7-

1x10E10 pores/cm3 and with a mouth diameter in the range of 0.1-10 micrometers, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. It is also noted that changing pore density and pore sizes is known in the art (e.g., Pilliar U.S. Pat. no. 3,855,638). Regarding claim 11, Hahn discloses the claimed invention except for the layer consisting of titanium oxide. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the layer of Hahn with titanium oxide, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. It is also noted that using such materials as titanium oxide or titanium alloy is known in the art (e.g., Pilliar U.S. Pat. no. 3,855,638). With regard to the statement of intended use and other functional statements, they do not impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Hahn which is capable of being used as claimed if one so desired. In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Furthermore, the law of anticipation does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches, but rather it is only necessary that the claims under attack "read on" something in the reference. Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 781 (CCPA 1983). Furthermore, the manner in which a device is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Application/Control Number: 09/980,006

Art Unit: 3733

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments regarding the newly amended claims have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Comstock whose telephone number is (571) 272-4710. Please leave a detailed voice message if examiner is unavailable. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo

Application/Control Number: 09/980,006

Art Unit: 3733

Page 5

Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Comstock

EDUÁRIOO O. ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER